

## UNITED STAT DEPAR JENT OF COMMERCE Patent and \_\_ademark Office

DATE MAILED:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/030,258 02/25/98 SCHULTZ R 12217-100 **EXAMINER** TM02/1214 GREGORY S. ROSENBLATT LAO, S WIGGIN & DANA **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER ONE CENTURY TOWER 2151

NEW HAVEN CT 06508-1832

12/14/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/030,258

Applica

Schultz, et al

Examiner

S. Lao

Group Art Unit 2151



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Gregory Rosenblatt (3)
(2) <u>Sue Lao</u> (4)
Date of Interview Dec 7, 2000
Type: XiTelephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 186. If yes, brief description:
Agreement was reached. Was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: None
Identification of prior art discussed:  None
A copy of the reference to D. Garlan et al "An Introduction to Software Architecture" will be faxed to applicant and be included in the PTO-892 of the next Office action. It is noted that sections 3.5 and 4.6 illustrates typical blackboard architecture.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
is also checked.  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  GROUP 2700

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.